

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/558,096	12/04/2006	Michael Engel	095309.57061US	3413
23911 7590 10/28/2008 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			EXAMINER	
			STEVENS, THOMAS H	
P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/558,096	ENGEL ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	THOMAS H. STEVENS	2121			
All Participants: Status of Application:					
(1) <u>THOMAS H. STEVENS</u> .	(3)				
(2) Richard Diefendorf.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 9 October 2008	Time:				
Type of Interview:	unt's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: Yes					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed: See Continuation Sheet					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
/Thomas H. Stevens/ Examiner, Art Unit 2121 (A	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Identification of prior art discussed: US 6714844(hereafter '844): this art reads on claim 1; attorney and examiner discussed methods of amending the claim without duplicating any of the remaining independent claims which was not possible. Since applicants will not cancel claim tree of claim 1, thus, another non-final rejection will be executed based upon the rejection by '844.